EXHIBIT 46

```
1
1
                **C O N F I D E N T I A L**
               UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2
               SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3
4
     BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT Adv.Pro.No.
     SECURITIES LLC,
                                       08-01789(BRL)
5
                   Debtor.
      -----x
6
     IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the
     Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff
7
     Investment Securities LLC,
                    Plaintiff,
                                       Adv.Pro.No.
8
                                        09-1182(BRL)
                v.
     J. EZRA MERKIN, GABRIEL CAPITAL,
9
     L.P., ARIEL FUND LTD., ASCOT
     PARTNERS, L.P., GABRIEL CAPITAL
10
     CORPORATION,
                   Defendants.
11
12
13
14
                The videotaped deposition of DANIEL
     GOTTLIEB, called for examination, taken pursuant
15
     to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure of the
16
17
     United States Bankruptcy Courts pertaining to
18
     the taking of depositions, taken before ANDREA
     L. CARTER, CSR No. 84-3722, a Certified
19
20
     Shorthand Reporter of said state, at Suite 3100,
21
     191 North Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois, on
22
     the 22nd day of October, A.D. 2012, at 10:03
23
     a.m.
24
25
```

Pg 3 of 23 CONFIDENTIAL DANIEL GOTTLIEB 10/22/12 PICARD v. MERKIN

	I ICARD V. MERRIN	CONTIDENTIAL DANIEL GOTTLIED TO	7/22/12
			11
1	about the litiga	tion protective order?	
2	Α.	No.	
3	Q.	Are you familiar with Bernard	
4	L. Madoff?		
5	Α.	I don't personally know him,	
6	but, yes, I am f	amiliar with him.	
7	Q.	And are you familiar with	
8	Bernard L. Madof	f Investment Securities LLC?	
9	Α.	Yeah.	
10	Q.	During our conversations	
11	today, I may ref	er to the entity Bernard L.	
12	Madoff Investmen	t Securities LLC as BLMIS, and	
13	when I am referr	ing to Mr. Madoff in his	
14	personal capacit	y, I will say Mr. Madoff. Is	
15	that okay?		
16	А.	Okay, yeah.	
17	Q.	Can you please state your full	
18	name.		
19	Α.	Daniel Isaac Gottlieb.	
20	Q.	What's your current address?	
21	Α.	My current address is 615	
22	Harvard Street,	Wilmette, Illinois.	
23	Q.	Where were you born?	
24	Α.	New York City.	
25	Q.	What is your occupation	

		12
1	currently?	
2	A. I am the chief investment	
3	officer for Glen Eagle.	
4	Q. Could you briefly describe	
5	your educational history?	
6	A. I have a bachelor's degree in	
7	religion from Swathmore College. I have an	
8	M.B.A. from Yale University.	
9	Q. Any other degrees?	
10	A. No.	
11	Q. From the time you finished	
12	your M.B.A., could you briefly walk me through	
13	in chronological order your employment history?	
14	A. I worked as a consultant for a	
15	firm called AMS Planning and Research from the	
16	summer of 2001 essentially through the summer	
17	of 2005. At the end of the summer of 2005, I	
18	took a sabbatical to work with Glen Eagle	
19	Partners. I became officially and sort of	
20	permanently employed by Glen Eagle at the	
21	beginning of 2006.	
22	Q. What is the Anne and Howard	
23	Gottlieb Family Foundation?	
24	A. It is a family foundation that	
25	was essentially endowed with capital by my	

		37
1	relationship with Jeff's father-in-law going	
2	back I think literally to when they were in	
3	grade school, and he just wanted to sit down	
4	and talk about the state of the world.	
5	Q. Did Mr. Moskowitz recommend	
6	Mr. Merkin as a possible investment?	
7	A. Yes, he did.	
8	Q. Did you meet Mr. Merkin?	
9	A. I did.	
10	Q. When?	
11	A. I believe the first time was	
12	at the very end of 2005, either November or	
13	December.	
14	Q. Do you consider yourself	
15	friends with Mr. Merkin?	
16	A. No.	
17	Q. Would it be fair to say	
18	would it be fair to describe your relationship	
19	to him as professional?	
20	A. Yes.	
21	Q. Okay. Apart from the social	
22	connection you just described, do you have any	
23	other ties to Mr. Merkin of a professional	
24	nature?	
25	A. Well, again, the connection	

		41	1
1	Q. Any others?		
2	A. No.		
3	Q. When wha	t was the	
4	approximate date of that inv	estment?	
5	A. I'm I'm	really not sure.	
6	Q. You stated	that you first met	
7	Mr. Merkin towards the end o	f 2005; is that	
8	correct?		
9	A. Yes.		
10	Q. Was it in a	relatively short	
11	time frame after that meetin	g, say a year?	
12	A. Well, I met	him again in I	
13	think I believe February of	2006, and I think	
14	we invested fairly soon ther	eafter.	
15	Q. Did the fam	ily foundation	
16	invest in any of Mr. Merkin'	s funds?	
17	A. The family	foundation invested	
18	in Ascot.		
19	Q. When did th	at invest occur?	
20	A. I think tha	t was a couple	
21	months after it may have	been that Gabriel	
22	could take money monthly and	Ascot could	
23	quarterly or something like	that. I don't	
24	remember the details.		
25	Q. Were those	two investments	

		44
1	non-taxable capital, that he thought it was an	
2	interesting place to have money.	
3	Q. What do you mean by "ordinary	
4	income"?	
5	A. Interest income. Income taxed	
6	at a 35 percent rate.	
7	Q. What was the basis of	
8	Mr. Merkin's recommendation that it was not an	
9	appropriate investment vehicle for a taxable	
10	MR. STEINER: Objection, form.	
11	BY THE WITNESS:	
12	A. That was it. Some combination	
13	of reasonably low return and reasonably high	
14	tax rates.	
15	BY MR. JACOBS:	
16	Q. The meeting you are referring	
17	to was the meeting you described earlier in	
18	late 2005?	
19	A. I believe that conversation	
20	was in the meeting we had at the beginning of	
21	2006, but I'm not 100 percent certain of that.	
22	Q. Okay. Let's focus on your	
23	first meeting with Mr. Merkin.	
24	Can you describe for me in as	
25	much detail as you can recall the circumstances	

PICARD v. MERKIN

CONFIDENTIAL

DANIEL GOTTLIEB 10/22/12

45 1 of that meeting. 2 I just have a -- it's very 3 hard for me to separate out the two 4 conversations. 5 Q. Okay. 6 Α. I remember where the meeting 7 was. You know, it was in his office. We talked more about sort of general stuff as 8 9 opposed to getting into nitty-gritty investment, but I don't really have much 10 11 recollection of specifics. 12 All right. Do you have any 13 recollection of what you discussed at that 14 meeting? 15 I know that we -- I mean, we Α. 16 talked about things that had absolutely nothing 17 to do with the investments. I actually 18 remember something specific about I guess one 19 of his daughters was thinking about going to 20 Bryn Mawr College. For some reason, that sticks in my mind. 21 22 You know, we talked about sort 23 of general investing. He asked about my 24 family, about the connection to Max Palevsky's 25 family, and we talked about Cerberus and

		46
1	AbleCo, and we talked about Gabriel Capital.	
2	Q. Do you recall any specifics	
3	about your discussion about Gabriel Capital?	
4	A. No, not any not any sort of	
5	very specific things, no.	
6	Q. Approximately how long did	
7	your meeting last?	
8	A. I assume it was an hour, but I	
9	don't know.	
10	Q. And the second time you met	
11	Mr. Merkin was in February of 2006; is that	
12	correct?	
13	A. Yes, I believe so.	
14	Q. Can you describe for me in as	
15	much detail as you can what you recall about	
16	that meeting.	
17	A. Again, it was in his office.	
18	You know, I remember him there was a	
19	specific conversation about the Yeshiva	
20	endowment. There was a conversation about both	
21	Gabriel and Ascot.	
22	I remember him talking about	
23	sort of the endowment performance relative to	
24	other endowments, and he was very proud of the	
25	fact that they were pushing up against, you	

		47
1	know, sort of the Harvard, Yale type from a	
2	return perspective, and, you know, so there was	
3	that sort that was a new sort of avenue in	
4	the conversation that I don't believe was a	
5	part of the 2000 the earlier meeting.	
6	Q. Do you have any connection to	
7	Yeshiva?	
8	A. No.	
9	Q. Did Mr. Merkin provide any	
10	specifics as to as to explain the success of	
11	the Yeshiva endowment?	
12	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
13	BY THE WITNESS:	
14	A. No.	
15	BY MR. JACOBS:	
16	Q. I have just been informed we	
17	have about ten minutes on the left on the	
18	tape. So I might another question or two, and	
19	then we will take a short break. Is that okay?	
20	A. Sure, yeah.	
21	Q. Approximately how long after	
22	your second meeting with Mr. Merkin did you	
23	recommend the investment in Ascot?	
24	A. I believe it was in the sort	
25	of following couple or few months, but I'm not	

		48
1	sure.	
2	Q. Approximately how long after	
3	you recommended the investment did the family	
4	foundation invest?	
5	A. Again, I believe it was, you	
6	know, within a few months.	
7	MR. JACOBS: I think we should take	
8	our break, if that's okay.	
9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the	
10	video record at 10:54 a.m. at the end of tape	
11	1.	
12	(WHEREUPON, a recess was had at	
13	10:54 a.m. until 11:08 a.m.)	
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on	
15	the video record at 11:08 a.m. at the beginning	
16	of tape 2.	
17	(WHEREUPON, a certain document	
18	was marked Trustee Deposition	
19	Exhibit No. 95, for	
20	identification, as of	
21	10/22/12.)	
22	BY MR. JACOBS:	
23	Q. Mr. Gottlieb, I am handing you	
24	what I am what I have marked as Trustee	
25	Exhibit 95.	

		50
1	A. Yeah.	
2	Q. Do you recognize this	
3	document?	
4	A. Sure.	
5	Q. What is this document?	
6	A. This is the email between	
7	myself and Mike Autera about the Glen Eagle	
8	investment in Gabriel and the foundation	
9	investment in Ascot.	
10	Q. What was the amount of the	
11	initial investment in Ascot?	
12	A. 500,000? Yes, 500,000.	
13	Q. What was the amount of the	
14	initial investment in Gabriel?	
15	A. A million.	
16	Q. At the top of the chain,	
17	Mr. Autera writes: "We are hoping to have the	
18	documents back from the lawyers by Friday. I	
19	will forward them to you as soon as they are	
20	available."	
21	Do you see that?	
22	A. Yeah.	
23	Q. Do you recall receiving	
24	documents from Mr. Autera?	
25	A. I have no idea.	

		51
1	Q. At the time you recommended	
2	the investment in Ascot to the family	
3	foundation, were you aware that the Ascot Fund	
4	was invested with Madoff?	
5	A. No.	
6	Q. At any time did you come to	
7	learn that the Ascot Fund was invested with	
8	Madoff?	
9	A. Yeah, when we got the letter	
10	from I believe it was from Mr. Merkin in	
11	December of 2008.	
12	Q. Did you receive that letter	
13	after Mr. Madoff had been arrested?	
14	A. Yeah, I mean, because Mr	
15	Mr. Madoff was arrested like immediately when	
16	the when the news broke. So, yes, it would	
17	have been after.	
18	Q. After that initial \$500,000	
19	investment, do you know if the family	
20	foundation made any subsequent investments in	
21	Ascot?	
22	A. I don't believe so.	
23	Q. Are you at the time	
24	strike that.	
25	Are you aware that the Ascot	

		52
1	Fund existed solely as a feeder fund to	
2	Mr. Madoff?	
3	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
4	BY THE WITNESS:	
5	A. I I understand that the	
6	overall majority of the capital was invested	
7	with Madoff. I guess I couldn't say for	
8	certain that it was solely.	
9	BY MR. JACOBS:	
10	Q. When did you come to that	
11	understanding?	
12	A. When we received the letter	
13	from Mr. Merkin.	
14	Q. That's the 2000	
15	A. End of 2008.	
16	Q December of 2008?	
17	A. Yeah, 2008.	
18	Q. What was your reaction when	
19	you received that letter?	
20	A. Shocked.	
21	Q. Why were you shocked?	
22	A. Because we had been talking a	
23	lot about Bernie Madoff in the office, and one	
24	of the things we said is, boy, you know, for	
25	all the crap that we are dealing with right now	

		53
1	at the end of 2008, I am glad we are not	
2	involved in this one.	
3	Q. At any time did Mr. Merkin	
4	inform you that the Ascot Fund was invested	
5	with Madoff?	
6	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
7	BY THE WITNESS:	
8	A. Prior to the letter, no.	
9	BY MR. JACOBS:	
10	Q. Prior to December of 2008, did	
11	you have any reason to suspect that the Ascot	
12	Fund might be invested with Madoff?	
13	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
14	BY THE WITNESS:	
15	A. Not that I no.	
16	BY MR. JACOBS:	
17	Q. Did you receive an Ascot Fund	
18	Offering Memorandum?	
19	A. I assume so. Yeah, I would	
20	assume that we did. I would assume that the	
21	foundation did.	
22	BY MR. JACOBS:	
23	Q. Do you recall personally ever	
24	reviewing the Ascot	
25	A. No.	

		86
1	one?	
2	A. Not that I can recall, no.	
3	Q. Did you exchange any emails	
4	with Mr. Merkin after this one?	
5	A. No.	
6	Q. Okay. Would it be fair to	
7	characterize the Ascot Fund as a fund of funds?	
8	MR. STEINER: Object to the form.	
9	BY THE WITNESS:	
10	A. No.	
11	BY MR. JACOBS:	
12	Q. Why not?	
13	A. Fund of funds has multiple	
14	underlying funds.	
15	Q. Okay. Do you have any reason	
16	to believe that Mr. Merkin would have known	
17	about your grandfather's skepticism of Bernie	
18	Madoff?	
19	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
20	BY THE WITNESS:	
21	A. No, no.	
22	BY MR. JACOBS:	
23	Q. Turning to the turning to	
24	Glen Eagle Partners investment in Gabriel.	
25	A. Yeah.	

		8′	7
1	Q. Prior to	Mr. Madoff's arrest	
2	in December of 2008		
3	A. Yes.		
4	Q did yo	u have any knowledge	
5	that the Gabriel Fund was	invested with	
6	Mr. Madoff?		
7	A. No.		
8	Q. Did you l	earn about Gabriel's	
9	exposure to Madoff in December of 2008?		
10	A. I believe	so, yeah.	
11	Q. Okay. In	the late 2005, 2006	
12	meetings you had with Mr.	Merkin	
13	A. Yeah.		
14	Q did he	disclose to you that	
15	the Gabriel Fund was inves	ted with Madoff?	
16	A. No.		
17	Q. Did Mr. M	erkin disclose to you	
18	that the Gabriel Fund was	invested with any	
19	other money managers?		
20	A. My unders	tanding of Gabriel is	
21	that it was a distressed d	ebt credit fund, and	
22	that they would on occasion co-invest in		
23	specific deals that Cerberus was involved in		
24	which for whatever reason	had some additional	
25	capacity or because of the	Cerberus exposure	

		88
1	they weren't able to take the whole deal, but	
2	that the majority of the capital was being done	
3	by his guys in-house.	
4	Q. Okay. Was that understanding,	
5	in fact, true?	
6	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
7	BY THE WITNESS:	
8	A. No, it was not.	
9	BY MR. JACOBS:	
10	Q. Why not?	
11	A. My understanding is that most	
12	of the money was actually with Cerberus. That	
13	part of it was with Madoff, and I believe there	
14	was a third investor, but that the amount of	
15	money that they were investing directly was de	
16	minimus, if any.	
17	Q. Did Mr. Merkin explain any of	
18	that to you when you met with him?	
19	A. No.	
20	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
21	BY MR. JACOBS:	
22	Q. Okay. Would you consider	
23	those facts to be important in deciding whether	
24	to invest in Gabriel?	
25	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	

		90
1	Q.	Have you seen this document
2	before?	
3	Α.	Yeah.
4	Q.	Do you recall when you first
5	saw it?	
6	Α.	I may have seen it in 2006. I
7	definitely review	ed it at the end of 2008.
8	Q.	Were you reviewing this
9	document in Decem	per of 2008 to see if
10	Mr. Madoff's invo	lvement in the Gabriel Fund
11	was disclosed?	
12	MR. S	TEINER: Objection to the
13	form.	
14	BY THE WITNESS:	
15	Α.	We were reviewing it to just
16	get a better sens	e of what had been going on at
17	Gabriel. Althoug	n, I mean, given the fact that
18	we were no longer	investors with Gabriel, it
19	was certainly not	as high on our list as Ascot
20	was.	
21	BY MR. JACOBS:	
22	Q.	So just the initial investment
23	in Gabriel of a m	illion dollars; is that
24	correct?	
25	Α.	Yes, that's correct.

PICARD v. MERKIN CONFIDENTIAL DANIEL GOTTLIEB 10/22/12

1	I ICARD V. WERRIN	CONTIDENTIAL	DANIEL GOTTLIED 10/22/12
			91
1	Q.	Was made in 2006?	
2	Α.	Yes.	
3	Q.	Were any subsequent	
4	investments made	in Gabriel?	
5	Α.	No.	
6	Q.	You just mentioned that	it in
7	December 2008 yo	u were no longer or Gle	en en
8	Eagle Glen Ea	gle Partners was no lor	ıger
9	invested		
10	Α.	Correct.	
11	Q.	with Gabriel.	
12		When did Glen Eagle Pa	rtners
13	fully divest its	elf of that investment?	,
14	Α.	I believe we withdrew	in the
15	spring of 2008.		
16	Q.	Okay. Prior to the sp	ring of
17	2008, did Glen E	agle Partners redeem ar	ıy
18	portion of its i	nvestment?	
19	А.	I don't think so, no.	
20	Q.	Why did Glen Eagle Par	tners
21	redeem its inves	tment in approximately	I
22	believe you said	the spring of 2008?	
23	Α.	You know, the fund had	l been
24	doing okay, and	we had looked at it as	
25	essentially, I h	ad invested in it with	the idea

PICARD v. MERKIN

CONFIDENTIAL

DANIEL GOTTLIEB 10/22/12

115 someone who there was a reason to withdraw from 1 2 and that reason never manifested itself. 3 Q. Did that reason ever manifest 4 itself? 5 MR. STEINER: Objection to form. BY THE WITNESS: 6 7 Α. Well, certainly in 2008 it did, but I mean it was still a huge surprise, 8 9 huge surprise. BY MR. JACOBS: 10 11 Do you believe that Mr. Merkin Q. 12 intentionally concealed the Ascot Fund's 13 exposure to Madoff from you? 14 MR. STEINER: Objection to form. 15 BY THE WITNESS: 16 Α. I don't see how he couldn't 17 I just -- I believe that I was supposed 18 to think that there was trading going on at --I don't even know the name of the umbrella 19 20 organization under which Gabriel and Ariel and 21 Ascot all set, but there were people there that 22 were engaging in a whole variety of strategies 23 from the sort of less liquid stuff that Gabriel 24 was doing to the more liquid stuff that Ascot 25 was doing. And I thought it was going on in

		116
1	the offices and at the terminals that I saw	
2	when I went there.	
3	Q. What what reasons do you	
4	believe Mr. Merkin might have had to conceal	
5	the Madoff exposure in the Ascot Fund from you?	
6	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
7	BY THE WITNESS:	
8	A. I don't know because, you	
9	know, other people knew this. I found out	
10	after the fact that, you know, some people	
11	thought it was common knowledge that Ascot was	
12	invested in Madoff, and, in fact, they were	
13	just as surprised that it wasn't common	
14	knowledge as we were that it was. So I	
15	can't I have no idea.	
16	BY MR. JACOBS:	
17	Q. Do you believe that Mr. Merkin	
18	was actively concealing from you Gabriel's	
19	Madoff exposure?	
20	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
21	BY THE WITNESS:	
22	A. I believe that that he was	
23	misleading that the capital was being run by	
24	third-party managers. I can't say that he was	
25	specifically hiding the Madoff exposure per se	

		155
1	Mr. Steiner's characterization of there being	
2	inaccuracies in this article?	
3	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
4	BY THE WITNESS:	
5	A. I think that, you know, we are	
6	dancing around semantics about what it means to	
7	approach the foundation. So the foundation did	
8	not know about Ascot until Mr. Merkin	
9	introduced the idea to me while I was in his	
10	office for a meeting regarding Gabriel.	
11	MR. JACOBS: Thank you very much.	
12	I have no further questions.	
13	MR. STEINER: I guess we didn't ask	
14	them on the phone, but do you either of you	
15	have any questions?	
16	MR. SCOTT: This is John Scott. No	
17	questions from me.	
18	MR. NEWCOMB: Ascot has no	
19	questions either.	
20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the	
21	video record at 1:22 p.m. at the end of tape 4.	
22	(WHEREUPON, the deposition was	
23	concluded at 1:22 p.m.)	
24		
25		